arXiv:0706.3050v2 [gr-qc] 18 Nov 2009

Cold dark matter from “strong gravity”

T. R. Mongan

November 18, 2009

84 Marin Avenue, Sausalito, CA 94965 USA

tmongan@gmail.com

Abstract

Approximating the strong force on matter in the flat background space of the early post-inflationary universe as an effective “strong gravity” indicates about 83% of all matter in the universe is now comprised of small closed impenetrable systems, each with 4.4 times the proton mass and radius 3×10^{-14}cm.

Dark Matter

Many cosmological models assume all four forces governing the universe were unified very early in the history of the universe. Taking a cue from force unification and approximating the strong force in the flat background space of the post-inflationary early universe as an effective “strong gravity” acting only on matter, the analysis below indicates dark matter is largely composed of impenetrable spheres with radius 3×10^{-14} cm and mass 4.4 times the proton mass m_p.

After the initial force symmetry broke, the gravitational structure constant $\frac{Gm_p^2}{\hbar c} = 5.9 \times 10^{-39}$, with $\hbar = 1.05 \times 10^{-27}$ g cm^2/sec, $c = 3 \times 10^{10}$cm/sec and $m_p = 1.67 \times 10^{-24} g$, is the ratio of the strength of gravity and the strong force after inflation. In the flat homogeneous and isotropic space of the post-inflationary universe with matter energy density ρ, approximate the strong force as "strong gravity" acting only on matter with strength $G_S = \left(\frac{M_P}{m_p}\right)^2 G = 1.7 \times 10^{38} G$, where the gravitational constant $G = 6.67 \times 10^{-8}$cm^3/g sec^2 and the Planck mass $M_P = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar c}{G}} = 2.18 \times 10^{-5}$ g. Then, the strong gravity Friedmann equation $\left(\frac{dR}{dt}\right)^2 - \frac{8\pi G_S \rho R^2}{3} = -c^2$ describes the local curvature of spaces defining closed massive systems bound by the effective strong gravity. Because a strong force at short distances is involved, a quantum mechanical analysis of such systems is necessary. The Schrodinger equation resulting from Elbaz-Novello quantization of the Friedmann equation [1] for a closed massive system bound by the effective strong gravity is $-\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu}\frac{d^2}{dr^2}\psi - \frac{2G_S\mu M}{3\pi r}\psi = -\frac{\mu c^2}{2}\psi$, where $M = 2\pi^2\rho r^3$ is the conserved mass of the closed system with radius r and μ is an effective mass. This Schrodinger equation is identical in mathematical form (but not interpretation) to the Schrodinger equation for the hydrogen atom and can be solved immediately. The ground state curvature energy $-\frac{\mu}{2\hbar^2}\left(\frac{2G_S\mu M}{3\pi}\right)^2$ of this Schrodinger equation must equal $\frac{\mu c^2}{2}$ for consistency with the corresponding Friedmann equation, so the effective mass $\mu = \frac{3\pi\hbar c}{2G_S M}$. The ground state solution of this Schrodinger equation describes a stable closed system bound by the effective strong gravity, with zero orbital angular momentum and radius $< r >= \frac{G_S M}{\pi c^2}$. Setting the radius $r = \frac{G_S M}{\pi c^2} = \frac{\hbar M}{\pi c m_p^2}$ of the small closed system equal to the Compton wavelength $\frac{\hbar}{Mc}$ yields $M = \sqrt{2\pi^2\frac{\hbar c}{G_S}} = m_p\pi\sqrt{2} = 4.4m_p$ and radius $r = \frac{\hbar M}{\pi c m_p^2} = \frac{\hbar\sqrt{2}}{cm_p} = 2.9\times 10^{-14}$ cm. The density of dark matter particles is $\frac{3(4.4m_p)}{4\pi}\left(\frac{cm_p}{\hbar\sqrt{2}}\right)^3 = 6.7\times 10^{16}$ g/cm^3.

Geodesic paths inside the stable ground state closed systems created by the effective strong gravity are all circles with radius $< r >= \frac{G_S M}{\pi c^2}$, so matter within these closed systems is permanently confined within a sphere of radius $< r >$. No particle can enter or leave these small closed systems after they form, to increase or decrease the amount of matter in those closed systems. These small closed systems act like rigid impenetrable spheres interacting only gravitationally and constituting the majority of dark matter.

Astrophysical consequences

As the universe expanded after inflation, the matter density of the universe steadily dropped. When the matter density in the early universe fell to 6.7×10^{16} g/cm^3, most matter in the universe coalesced into dark matter - small closed spherically symmetric systems with zero orbital angular momentum. This resulted in a universe packed with small invisible and impenetrable systems interacting only gravitationally. Assuming uniform matter density in the universe and instantaneous coalescence with maximum packing fraction, 74% of the matter in the universe is small closed impenetrable systems constituting the bulk of dark matter. With non-uniform density, coalescence would occur first in lower density volumes, and expansion of the universe might allow slightly more than 74% of matter to coalesce into small closed systems.

The matter fraction of the energy density in the universe today is about 0.3. If the hadronic matter fraction is about 0.05, dark matter is about (0.25/0.3) = 83% of all matter. If 74% of all matter is small closed systems, those small bound systems account for $0.74\times 0.3 = 0.22$ of the energy density in the universe today, or about (0.22/0.25) = 88% of the dark matter. Using today's scale factor of the universe $R_0 \approx 10^{28}$ cm and today's matter density 2.4×10^{-30} g/cm^3, the matter density 6.7×10^{16} g/cm^3 required for coalescence into small closed systems with mass $4.4m_p$ occurred when the scale factor of the universe was $R_c \approx 3 \times 10^{12}$ cm.

Assuming velocity-independent rigid sphere scattering [2], an approximate lower bound on the self-interaction collision cross-section/mass ratio for these dark matter particles is $\frac{\sigma}{M} = \frac{4\pi(2r)^2}{M} = 16\sqrt{2}\left(\frac{G_s}{c^2}\right)^2 m_p = 0.006\ \mathrm{cm}^2/\mathrm{g}$. This is about a third of Hennawi and Ostriker's [3] best fit value of 0.02 cm^2/g based on growth of black holes in the center of galaxies. This lower bound self-interaction collision cross-section/mass ratio for dark matter particles is consistent with upper bounds on $\frac{\sigma}{M}$ based on evaporation of galactic halos [4], optical and X-ray observations of the colliding galactic cluster 1E0657-56 [5], and X-ray observations of the merging galaxy cluster MACSJ0025.4-1222 [6]. As noted by Hennawi and Ostriker [3], values of $\frac{\sigma}{M}$ in this range indicate observed density profiles in galaxies occur by early and efficient growth of massive black holes at the center of galaxies, followed by successive galactic mergers. The mass of the dark matter particles is below the Lopes/Silk 10 GeV threshold [7] that would allow capture by the solar gravitational field and accumulation in the center of the Sun.

The impenetrable spheres of dark matter are the ultimate defense against gravitational collapse. The radius of a close-packed sphere of n dark matter particles is $R_n = \sqrt[3]{n}\sqrt{2}\left(\frac{\hbar}{cm_p}\right) = \sqrt[3]{n}(2.9 \times 10^{-14}\mathrm{cm})$. The Schwarschild radius of that sphere, $R_S = \frac{8.8Gnm_p}{c^2} = 1.1n \times 10^{-51}\mathrm{cm}$, is smaller than the physical radius of the sphere until $\sqrt[3]{n}(2.9 \times 10^{-14}\mathrm{cm}) = 1.1n \times 10^{-51}\mathrm{cm}$, or $n = 1.4 \times 10^{56}$. This suggests a minimum mass for an accretionary black hole of $6.2 \times 10^{56} m_p = 1.0 \times 10^{33}$ g, or about half the solar mass, and a minimum Schwarschild radius of 1.5 km. This limit is below the Tolman-Oppenheimer-Volkoff limit of 0.7 solar masses for the mass of neutron stars that can be supported against gravitational collapse by neutron degeneracy pressure, as well as the maximum neutron star mass of 1.5 to 3 solar masses calculated by Bombaci [8]. The limit prohibits black holes with mass around 10^{20} g proposed as "anti-matter factories" by Bambi et al [9].

The surface temperature of a Schwarzschild black hole of mass M is $T = \frac{\hbar c^3}{8\pi GMk} = \frac{\hbar c}{4\pi R_S k}$, where the Boltzmann constant $k = 1.38 \times 10^{-16}(\mathrm{g\,cm}^2/\mathrm{sec}^2)/{}^oK$. So, the maximum surface temperature of an accretionary black hole cannot exceed that of a minimum mass Schwarzschild black hole with mass 1×10^{33} g and surface temperature $1.2 \times 10^{-7}\ {}^oK$. The microwave background radiation temperature will not drop below the surface temperature of a minimum mass Schwarzschild black hole until the scale factor of the universe is 2.3×10^6times greater than today, so accretionary black holes will not begin to evaporate by Hawking radiation until that time.

Consistency with DAMA/LIBRA results

Dark matter particles with mass $4.4m_p$ are consistent with DAMA/LIBRA nuclear recoil results [10]. DAMA/LIBRA results and astronomical observations of the colliding galaxies 1E0657-56 [5] both indicate a very low cross section for scattering of dark matter on ordinary matter. In a parton model of the struck nuclei at DAMA/LIBRA, detectable nuclear recoils only occur in very low probability events when an incoming dark matter particle collides almost head-on with a parton carrying most of the center-of-mass momentum of the struck nucleus.

Accelerator production

The impenetrable spheres of dark matter will not be created in particle accelerators because of Lorentz contraction of the accelerated particles. In the colliding particle center of mass system, the energy of colliding particles is dumped into a thin Lorentz-contracted disk. This does not create the uniform matter distribution in a sphere of radius 3×10^{-14} cm necessary to reproduce early universe conditions resulting in coalescence of impenetrable spheres of dark matter.

References

[1] Elbaz, E., Novello, M., Salim, J. M., Motta da Silva, M. C., & Klippert, R., Gen. Rel. Grav. 29, 481, 1997; Novello, M., Salim, J. M., Motta da Silva, M. C., & Klippert, R., Phys. Rev. D54, 6202, 1996

[2] Schiff, L. I., “Quantum Mechanics” (2nd ed.), McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York, 1955

[3] Hennawi, J.F. & Ostriker, J. P., ApJ 572, 41, 2002

[4] Gnedin, O.Y. & Ostriker, J. P., ApJ 561, 61, 2001

[5] Markevitch, M., Gonzalez, A.H., Clowe, D., Vikhilin, A., Forman, W., Jones, C., Murray, S., & Tucker, W., ApJ. 606, 819, 2004

[6] Bradac, M., et al, arXiv:0806.2320

[7] Lopes, I.P. & Silk, J., Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 151303, 2002

[8] Bombaci, I. Astron. Astrophysics, 305, 871-877, 1996

[9] Bambi, C., Dolgov, A., and Petrov, A., arXiv:0806:3440

[10] Petriello, F. & Zurek, K, arXiv:0806.3989